

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/832,094	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKADA, SUGURU	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kwang B. Yao	<b>Art Unit</b> 2667	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kwang B. Yao.

(2) Amelia Morani.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 December 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

112 second paragraph rejection

**Claims discussed:**

1,3,8

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is noted that the newly added limitations do not meet the requirements of 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph. It is suggested to cancel claims 1 and 3; and to make claims 3, 4 depend on claim 8. Ms. Morani would like to see an Office Action before making any amendements. .